

**Boundary Integral Equation for  
Axisymmetric Potential Problem  
by W. T. Ang 26 February 2009**

Revised 3 November 2010

The boundary integral equation for the three-dimensional potential problem is given in Chapter 6 of the book “*A Beginner’s Course in Boundary Element Methods*” as

$$\lambda(\xi, \eta, \zeta)\phi(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \iint_S (\phi(x, y, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\Phi_{3D}(x, y, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta)] - \Phi_{3D}(x, y, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\phi(x, y, z)]) ds(x, y, z), \quad (1)$$

where  $\phi$  satisfies the three-dimensional Laplace’s equation in the region  $R$  bounded by a closed surface  $S$ ,  $\lambda(\xi, \eta, \zeta)$  is defined by

$$\lambda(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \notin R \cup S, \\ 1/2 & \text{if } (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \text{ lies on a smooth part of } S, \\ 1 & \text{if } (\xi, \eta, \zeta) \in R, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

and  $\Phi_{3D}$  is the fundamental solution given by

$$\Phi_{3D}(x, y, z; \xi, \eta, \zeta) = -\frac{1}{4\pi\sqrt{(x-\xi)^2 + (y-\eta)^2 + (z-\zeta)^2}}. \quad (3)$$

Let us now consider the axisymmetric case in which the surface  $S$  of the solution domain can be generated by rotating a curve  $\Gamma$  about the  $z$ -axis by an angle of  $360^\circ$ . For example, if  $S$  is the sphere  $x^2 + y^2 + (z-2)^2 = 1$  (sphere of center  $(0, 0, 2)$  and radius 1) then we can generate the surface  $S$  by rotating the semi-circle  $x^2 + (z-2)^2 = 1, x \geq 0$ , on the  $Oxz$  plane by an angle of  $360^\circ$  about the  $z$ -axis. For such a surface  $S$  and for  $\phi$  which does not change with the polar coordinate  $\theta$  but only with  $r$  and  $z$ , that is, for an axisymmetric problem, the boundary integral over  $S$  in (1) can be reduced to an integral over the curve  $\Gamma$  as explained below. (In cylindrical polar coordinates, points can be described using  $(r, \theta, z)$  instead of  $(x, y, z)$ , where  $x = r \cos \theta$  and  $y = r \sin \theta$ .)

Firstly, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(r, \theta, z) &= \phi(r \cos \theta, y \sin \theta, z) \\ p^*(r, \theta, z) &= \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\phi(x, y, z)] \right|_{\substack{(x,y,z)=(r \cos \theta, y \sin \theta, z) \\ (n_x, n_y)=(n_r \cos \theta - n_\theta \sin \theta, n_r \sin \theta + n_\theta \cos \theta)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_r$  and  $n_\theta$  are respectively the  $r$  and  $\theta$  components of the outward unit normal vector to the surface  $S$  as explained below. In Cartesian coordinates, the normal vector is given by  $[n_x, n_y, n_z]$ .

For an axisymmetric problem,  $\phi^*$  is independent of  $\theta$  and we can write  $\phi^*(r, z)$ . We will show now that, for axisymmetric problem,  $p^*$  also depends only on  $r$  and  $z$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n}[\phi(x, y, z)] &= n_x \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} + n_y \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y} + n_z \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} \\ &= n_x \left( \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x} \right) \\ &\quad + n_y \left( \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial y} \right) + n_z \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

If we introduce a local (polar) coordinate system with base vectors  $\mathbf{e}_r$ ,  $\mathbf{e}_\theta$  and  $\mathbf{e}_z = \mathbf{k}$ , then the unit normal vector is given by  $n_r \mathbf{e}_r + n_\theta \mathbf{e}_\theta + n_z \mathbf{e}_z$ . On a fixed plane  $z = c$  (constant), if the body is axisymmetric, the components  $n_r$ ,  $n_\theta$  and  $n_z$  do not change with  $\theta$ , but  $n_x$  and  $n_y$  change with  $\theta$ . It may be shown that

$$\begin{aligned} n_x &= n_r \cos \theta - n_\theta \sin \theta \\ n_y &= n_r \sin \theta + n_\theta \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that (for axisymmetric problem)

$$\begin{aligned} p^* &= (n_r \cos \theta - n_\theta \sin \theta) \left[ \cos \theta \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} - \frac{\sin \theta}{r} \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial \theta} \right] \\ &\quad + (n_r \sin \theta + n_\theta \cos \theta) \left[ \sin \theta \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} + \frac{\cos \theta}{r} \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial \theta} \right] + n_z \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial z} \\ &= n_r \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r} n_\theta \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial \theta} + n_z \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi^*$ ,  $n_r$  and  $n_z$  are independent of  $\theta$ , we find that  $p^* = n_r \partial \phi^* / \partial r + n_z \partial \phi^* / \partial z$  is also independent of  $\theta$ .

For convenience, we will now drop the asterik  $*$  and write  $\phi^*(r, z)$  as merely  $\phi(r, z)$  and  $p^*(r, z)$  as  $p(r, z)$ .

For  $S$  which is symmetrical about the  $z$ -axis, the infinitesimal area  $ds(x, y, z)$  in (1) can be written as

$$ds(x, y, z) = r \, d\ell \, d\theta. \quad (4)$$

where  $d\ell$  is the length of an infinitesimal portion of the curve  $\Gamma$ .

Consider now (1) for axisymmetric potential problem. For a point  $(\xi, \eta, \zeta) = (r_0 \cos \theta_0, r_0 \sin \theta_0, z_0)$  on the  $Oxz$  plane (where  $y = 0$  or  $\theta_0 = 0$ ), we can rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(r_0, z_0)\phi(r_0, z_0) \\ = & \iint_S (\phi(r, z) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0)] \\ & - \Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0) p(r, z)) r \, d\ell \, d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda(r_0, z_0) = 1/2$  if  $(r_0, z_0)$  lies on a smooth part of  $\Gamma$  and  $\lambda(r_0, z_0) = 1$  if  $(r_0, z_0)$  lies in the interior of the solution domain on the  $Oxz$  plane.

We need to integrate with respect to  $\theta$  from 0 to  $2\pi$  as the complete surface  $S$  is obtained by rotating  $\Gamma$  by an angle of  $360^\circ$ . Note that  $\theta$  appears only in the function  $\Phi_{3D}$  and not in  $\phi(r, z)$ . The integration (involving the coordinates  $r$  and  $z$ ) (that is, with respect to  $\ell$ ) is over the curve  $\Gamma$ . Thus, we can rewrite (5) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(r_0, z_0)T(r_0, z_0) \\ = & \int_{\Gamma} (T(r, z) \Psi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0; n_r, n_z) - \Phi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0) p(r, z)) r d\ell(r, z), \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0) \\ = & \int_0^{2\pi} \Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0) d\theta \\ = & -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(r \cos \theta - r_0)^2 + r^2 \sin^2 \theta + (z - z_0)^2}} d\theta \\ = & -\frac{1}{4\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 - 2rr_0 \cos \theta}} d\theta \\ = & -\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{4\sqrt{1 - \frac{2rr_0(1 + \cos \theta)}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}}} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}\int_0^\pi\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\frac{2rr_0(1+\cos(2t))}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}}dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}\int_0^\pi\frac{1}{2\sqrt{1-\frac{4rr_0\cos^2(t)}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}}dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4rr_0\cos^2(t)}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}}dt \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}\int_0^{\pi/2}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4rr_0\sin^2(t)}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}}dt.
\end{aligned}$$

If we define the function  $K(m)$  as

$$K(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-m\sin^2(t)}} dt, \quad (7)$$

then we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Phi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}}K\left(\frac{4rr_0}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0}\right). \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

In mathematics,  $K$  is a special function and is called the *complete elliptic integral of the first kind*. There is a simple approximate but accurate formula in Abramowitz and Stegun's *Handbook of Mathematical Functions* for evaluating  $K(m)$ . Some mathematical softwares may have inbuilt functions for calculating  $K(m)$ . Note that  $0 \leq \frac{4rr_0}{r^2+r_0^2+(z-z_0)^2+2rr_0} \leq 1$  and  $K(m)$  is undefined for  $m = 1$ .

Also, for axisymmetric body, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0; n_r, n_z) \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} [\Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0)] d\theta \\
&= \int_0^{2\pi} \left( n_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{r} n_\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} [\Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + n_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\Phi_{3D}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, z; r_0, 0, z_0)] \right) d\theta \tag{9} \\
&= n_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} [\Phi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0)] + n_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} [\Phi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0)]
\end{aligned}$$

For the axisymmetric body, can you see why  $n_\theta = 0$ ?

There is this relationship:

$$\frac{d}{dm}(K(m)) = \frac{1}{2m} \left( \frac{E(m)}{1-m} - K(m) \right), \tag{10}$$

where

$$E(m) = \int_0^{\pi/2} \sqrt{1 - m \sin^2 t} dt. \tag{11}$$

Note that  $E(m)$  is known as the *complete elliptic integral of the second kind*. Details on computing  $E(m)$  are available in Abramowitz and Stegun.

From (9) and (10), it can be shown that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{\text{axis}}(r, z; r_0, z_0; n_r, n_z) \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}} \\
&\times \left\{ \frac{n_r}{2r} \left[ \frac{r_0^2 - r^2 + (z - z_0)^2}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 - 2rr_0} E\left(\frac{4rr_0}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}\right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - K\left(\frac{4rr_0}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}\right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + n_z \frac{z_0 - z}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 - 2rr_0} E\left(\frac{4rr_0}{r^2 + r_0^2 + (z - z_0)^2 + 2rr_0}\right) \right\}. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

If we use (6) to devise a boundary element method for solving the axisymmetric potential problem, we have to discretize the curve  $\Gamma$  on the  $rz$  space (that is, the  $Oxz$  plane). The curve  $\Gamma$  can be discretized into straight line elements. The  $k$ -th typical element which has endpoints  $(r^{(k)}, z^{(k)})$  and  $(r^{(k+1)}, z^{(k+1)})$