

Main Menu Choose another Feedback

#### Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:40 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TA04

Actual Class Size: 17

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Г	Encouraged engagement in the course				(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
ſ	As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	9	4.89	.31	8	1	0	0	0
4	<b>Communicated clearly</b> This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	9	4.78	.42	7	2	0	0	0
	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	9	4.67	.67	7	1	1	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	9	4.89	.31	8	1	0	0	0
Ę	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	9	4.89	.31	8	1	0	0	0
/	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	9	4.89	.31	8	1	0	0	0
I	<b>Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way</b> The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	9	4.89	.31	8	1	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.84

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

#### Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

1. Prof Boon is able to deliver all his explanation in a clear and concise way. He is one of the best lecturer in my many years of learning. 2. Best tutor ever! I hope that doing the feedback so early in the semester does not mean that he's leaving because I'd probably fail.

Prof has done extra miles to assist student in understanding subject and gave his experience and insights on question. Well done sir

4. Very clear explanations.

5. The review at the beginning helps understand the course a lot.

## Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. He should lecture instead. The current lecturer isnt very good to be honese.

2. excellent, no comment. Other lecturers may learn from him as role model

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	3
slightly more difficult	1
same	3
slightly easier	1
much easier	1

#### I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	7
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	2
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

## Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time	4	
Some of the time	5	
Hardly any of the time	0	
Never	0	

## Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

# Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:43 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TA05

Actual Class Size: 22

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	8	4.88	.33	7	1	0	0	0
2	Communicated clearly This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	8	5	0	8	0	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	8	4.88	.33	7	1	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	8	5	0	8	0	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	8	4.63	.7	6	1	1	0	0
Þ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	8	4.63	.7	6	1	1	0	0
I	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	8	4.88	.33	7	1	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.84

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

-	
1.	He make the content very simplified and easy to digest. Clear at passing across the content knowledge.
2	clear
3.	He is good. Willing to go the extra mile to teach us. Well done.
4	Detailed explanation of the questions.

Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. Nothing to improve. Too perfect.

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	0
slightly more difficult	1
same	5
slightly easier	0
much easier	2

## I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	7
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	1
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

## Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time5Some of the time3Hardly any of the time0Never0

Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

### Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:45 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TC01

## Actual Class Size: 28

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
_					(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0
2	<b>Communicated clearly</b> This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	14	4.86	.35	12	2	0	0	0
A	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0
I	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	14	4.93	.26	13	1	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.92

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course? 1. He always ask questions and praise the class if we got it right

#### Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

1. He knows really well on what he's teaching deliver to us very well

# Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

1. He provides consultation hours

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts? 1. He keeps on repeating the formula or the important key point and that REALLY does help for me to understand

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way? 1. One direction!

# Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

	Prepared extra notes for student to learn. Detailed explanation for tutorial solutions and make it easier for student to understand.
2.	He is patient to go through the important points in the topics for our better understanding, before starting on the tutorials. Despite taking some time, he still continued and made the effort to record videos on his teachings for his unfinished part.
	The way he teach is very clear and I hope to have him giving lectures.
4	Explained concept clearly. Very helpful and provide sufficient materials.
5	He understand student doubt and confusion, and will base on that to teach his tutorial. More often than not, this greatly helps student to understand 3 hours lecture in 50 minutes.
6	Came up with his own crafted notes for his tutorial student. Shows how much passion he has for teaching.
7	Excellent teaching. One in a hundred of all teachers who is really passionate to teach.

# Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. Teach the lectures as well.

2. The only improve that I felt is that, he should teach the lecture, not just tutorial.

3. Continue the way he teaches and he will get teaching excellence award.

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	0
slightly more difficult	6
same	5
slightly easier	3
much easier	0

#### I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	14
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

#### Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time	6
Some of the time	6
Hardly any of the time	2
Never	0

#### Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

### Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:48 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TC02

Actual Class Size: 31

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	15	4.73	.57	12	2	1	0	0
2	<b>Communicated clearly</b> This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	15	4.73	.57	12	2	1	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	15	4.67	.6	11	3	1	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	15	4.73	.57	12	2	1	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	15	4.6	.61	10	4	1	0	0
Þ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	15	4.73	.57	12	2	1	0	0
	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	15	4.73	.57	12	2	1	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.7

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

1. Make student understand very well.

2. Provided many additional resources to help students understand the topic better.

Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. Nil

On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	0	
slightly more difficult	6	
same	5	
slightly easier	2	
much easier	1	

## I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	11
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	3
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

## Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time5Some of the time8Hardly any of the time1Never0

Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

# Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:49 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TC06

Actual Class Size: 28

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
2	Communicated clearly This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
ļ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0
I	<b>Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way</b> The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	16	4.94	.24	15	1	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.94

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

1. Recapp with student what we've learnt

2. Taught at a pace which was easy to follow and was clear in his explaination.

3. Clear refresh before going through tutorials.

# Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

LET HIM STAY AT ONE CLASS PLEASE!! I dont want to switch tutor :(
Z. Too perfect.

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	2
slightly more difficult	1
same	7
slightly easier	2
much easier	4

#### I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	16
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

#### Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time9Some of the time7Hardly any of the time0Never0

Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

### Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:51 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE2002 (ANALOG ELECTRONICS)

Method: TUT, Group: TC08

Actual Class Size: 29

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	18	4.83	.37	<b>(5)</b> 15	<b>(4)</b> 3	<b>(3)</b>	( <b>2</b> )	<b>(1)</b> 0
2	Communicated clearly This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	18	4.89	.31	16	2	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	18	4.89	.31	16	2	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	18	4.78	.42	14	4	0	0	0
Þ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
I	<b>Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way</b> The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.84

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

## Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

1. Very good tutor. Went through the main points of the topics that was included in the tutorial to make sure the students under him know the basics fully, before teaching/explaning the tutorial questions.

2. clear explanations of important concepts

3. Concepts were presented in a way that are easy to understand

in

4.	I like the way he goes through the important points of every chapters. He is able to explain very well. A really great tutor that will go the extra mile for students. NTU needs more people like him to teach.
5.	Very detailed explanation of fundamental concepts of each tutorial and tutorial questions. Solution steps are easy to understand and sufficient explanation on how steps are derived.
6.	teaching pace is good the uploaded video helps alot
7.	very clear and concise teaching
8.	Put in effort to produce extra notes to assist in our understanding
9.	Use of Visualizer to explain the Ans.
10.	Uploaded recorded tutorial videos to go through tutorial qns that are not gone through in class due to time constraint. Made learning of the topic easier by going through fundamental concepts at the beginning of each tutorial.
11.	his explanations are very detailed and easiy understood. a dedicated tutor.
12.	revise briefly on what was taught. Good summary and goes through the questions well

# Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

- 1. Need more tutors with dedication like him.
- 2. already very good ..
- 3. When using of transparency, turn off the light that is behind u to avoid glare on the transparency.
- 4. Let him teach the module for lectures

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	2
slightly more difficult	4
same	8
slightly easier	1
much easier	3

# I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	18
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

## Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time	13
Some of the time	3
Hardly any of the time	1
Never	1

## Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

# Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:55 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE4343 (RADIO FREQUENCY CIRCUITS)

Method: LEC, Group: LE

Actual Class Size: 31

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
2	Communicated clearly This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	18	4.83	.37	15	3	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	18	4.72	.56	14	3	1	0	0
Þ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	18	4.89	.31	16	2	0	0	0
	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	18	4.78	.53	15	2	1	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.82

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

## Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

- 1. Helped students understand important concepts.
- 2. Awesome!
- 3. Very interactive and interesting, like it very much

# Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. Explain the rationale behind the approach of solving real-life RF circuit design problem. More practical example would make the class more interesting.

# On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	2
slightly more difficult	5
same	9
slightly easier	1
much easier	0

#### I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	16
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	1
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

#### I watched recordings of the lectures:

80% or more of the recordings by this faculty member	5
Somewhat less than 80% of the recodings by this faculty member	5
Less than 50% of the recordings by this faculty member	7

#### Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

### Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:53 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE4343 (RADIO FREQUENCY CIRCUITS)

Method: TUT, Group: F21

Actual Class Size: 11

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree (5)	Agree (4)	Neutral (3)	Disagree (2)	Strongly Disagree (1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
2	<b>Communicated clearly</b> This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
Δ	Conducted valuable The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0
I	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	6	4.67	.47	4	2	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.67

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

#### Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

1. Helped students understand important concepts

Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

1. Explain the rationale behind the approach of solving real-life RF circuit design problem. More practical example would make the class more interesting.

On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

2
0
3
0
0

#### I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	3
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	1
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

# Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time	2	
Some of the time	3	
Hardly any of the time	0	
Never	0	

Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel



Main Menu Choose another Feedback

# Student Feedback on Teaching for Academic Year 2012/2013 Semester 2

Date: 18-MAR-2013 11:54 AM

Instructor: Asst Prof Boon Chirn Chye

Course: EE4343 (RADIO FREQUENCY CIRCUITS)

Method: TUT, Group: F22

Actual Class Size: 20

	Criteria for consideration	Total Responses	Mean	SD	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
-					(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)
1	Encouraged engagement in the course As a result of the teaching approaches taken by this faculty member, I was involved and interested in the course.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
2	<b>Communicated clearly</b> This faculty member was easy to understand in all forms of communication including in classes, online, and in writing.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
3	Was approachable This faculty member created opportunities, either in classes, or outside classes, for students to ask questions and seek help.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
4	Helped students understand important concepts This faculty member took steps to ensure that I understood how the subject matter of the course is framed by principles or concepts, or how the details fit together into concepts.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
5	Encouraged critical thinking in the subject area The teaching approaches of this faculty member encouraged me to think deeply and analytically about the knowledge and concepts in the course.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
ļ	<b>Conducted valuable</b> The type of class conducted by this faculty member was helpful to my learning.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0
	Presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way The way this faculty member designed and conducted classes helped to build my knowledge and understanding in a systematic way.	12	4.92	.28	11	1	0	0	0

(Individual) Mean Teaching Score: 4.92

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's encouragement of engagement in the course?

Do you have any further comments on this faculty member's ability to communicate clearly?

Do you have any further comments about this faculty member's approachability?

Do you have any further comments about how this faculty member helped you understand concepts?

Do you have any further comments to make about this faculty member's encouragement of critical thinking?

Do you have any further comments on the type of class conducted by this faculty member?

Do you have any further comments on the way this faculty member presented the subject matter in a methodical and logical way?

Please comment on this faculty member's strengths

Please comment on how the faculty member might improve the teaching and learning in this course.

On average, I rate the difficulty of the content taught by this faculty member as compared to the rest of the courses I have taken, as

much more difficult	1
slightly more difficult	4
same	6
slightly easier	1
much easier	0

## I attended:

80% or more of the classes taught by this faculty member	12
Somewhat less than 80% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0
Less than 50% of the classes taught by this faculty member	0

# Where it was required, I prepared for the classes taught by this faculty member:

All, or most of the time	5
Some of the time	6
Hardly any of the time	0
Never	0

Faculty Comments (max 500 chars)

Characters typed: 0

Save Comments Print Cancel