A 64 $\times$ 64 CMOS Image Sensor With On-Chip Moving Object Detection and Localization

Bo Zhao, Student Member, IEEE, Xiangyu Zhang, Student Member, IEEE, Shoushun Chen, Member, IEEE, Kay-Soon Low, Senior Member, IEEE, and Hualiang Zhuang

Abstract—This paper presents a 64$\times$64 CMOS image sensor with on-chip moving object detection and localization capability. Pixel-level storage elements (capacitors) enable the sensor to simultaneously output two consecutive frames, with temporal differences digitalized into binary events by a global differentiator. An on-chip, hardware-implemented, clustering-based algorithm processes events on the fly and localizes up to three moving objects in the scene. The sensor can automatically switch to region of interest mode and capture a picture of the object. The proposed image sensor was implemented using UMC 0.18 $\mu$m CMOS technology with a die area of 1.5 mm $\times$ 1.5 mm, and power consumption was only 0.4 mW at 100 FPS.

Index Terms—Motion detection, moving object localization, on-the-fly clustering, smart image sensor.

I. INTRODUCTION

Camera systems that localize and track fast-motion objects are important to a number of applications, including surveillance, security monitoring, and road traffic enforcement. Despite aggressive advancements in CMOS technology, existing implementations of these systems remain bulky [1]–[4]. In addition to complex signal processing algorithms, these systems usually need high-speed imaging to avoid motion blur and multiple sensors to cover a large surveillance area from different view angles. Cameras that have no or few feature extraction capabilities produce large amounts of unimportant data that must be read and processed to obtain features of interest [5]. Thus, huge computation resources are demanded for real-time operation, and this consequently can only be done on high-performance computing platforms. Translation of these systems into low-cost and lightweight platforms is therefore a challenging task.

Smart image sensors combine focal-plane signal processing and implement novel approaches to improve the computation efficiency, when compared to conventional discrete sensor-processor systems. Among these are various image sensors for motion detection, adaptive resolution, and even object tracking [6]–[15]. An architecture of an object tracking CMOS image sensor was presented in [9]. The sensor can switch between two operation modes: object acquisition and tracking. It first finds the N most salient targets in the field of view and defines windows around their centroid coordinates, then only the regions inside the windows are processed. A spatial-temporal multi-resolution CMOS image sensor was reported in [15]. This image sensor can simultaneously generate two outputs: one at a low frame rate with maximum spatial resolution for stationary backgrounds and the other at a high frame rate with reduced spatial resolution for moving objects in the region-of-interest (ROI). Based on 1-bit motion information, the centroid of the moving object is computed by an external CPLD device and sent back to the sensor. The row decoder and the column selector later only access ROI pixels to track the object. The overall system requires two external CPLDs and the algorithm suffers from background noise and cannot adaptively change the size of the region of interest. A more advanced object tracking algorithm was proposed in [16] and [17]. This tracking system was developed using an asynchronous, temporal-contrast, address-event-representation-type vision sensor [14] and an off-chip digital signal processor. The algorithm continuously clusters incoming events based on a mean-shift approach. Each new event is assigned to a cluster based on a distance criterion, and the event is used to update this cluster’s weight and position. Though this system removes the need for a buffer to record every motion event, computation tends to be extensive due to the long list of clusters to be maintained and updated for every event. This is caused by the random spatial and temporal distribution of motion events out of the vision sensor, in which the pixels belonging to one object arrive in nonconsecutive order. An individual motion pixel cannot easily be treated as “noise” or “a part of an interested object” without waiting a significant amount of time to see whether it is surrounded by enough nearby neighbors.

In this paper, we propose an image sensor with on-chip moving object detection and localization. The algorithm was implemented on-chip without the need for any external computation and storage. This image sensor performs frame differencing [18] to generate binary motion events, which are processed on the fly to build clusters based on a distance criterion. After the position and size of the active object is obtained, the sensor switches to ROI intensity mode and reports a picture of the object. The aim of this paper is to develop a real-time tracking system for low-power applications. Our major
Involves trading off between performance and complexity.
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Systems that employ standard intensity cameras [19], [20].

Avoiding the background subtraction computation needed with the background information is thus filtered by the camera, avoiding the background subtraction computation needed with systems that employ standard intensity cameras [19], [20].

The motion events are processed on the fly to build clusters based on a distance criterion. At the end of the frame, the position and size of the active object is obtained. The sensor can automatically switch to ROI intensity mode and capture a picture of the object.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we introduce the system architecture. Section III describes the moving object localization algorithm. The very large scale integration (VLSI) implementation of motion detection and object localization is illustrated in Section IV. Section V reports the experimental results and Section VI concludes this paper.

II. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE

Fig. 1 shows the system architecture of the proposed image sensor. Main building blocks include a 64×64 pixel array, temporal difference event generator, object localization unit, address controller, and decoders. Each pixel is equipped with an analog memory (capacitor) and can output both the new integration voltage on its photodiode and the previous voltage stored on its capacitor. The event generator computes the difference between the two voltages and compares it to a positive and negative threshold. A motion event is generated if this difference exceeds the thresholds. If the scene illumination and object reflectance are constant, the changes in scene reflectance only result from object movements or camera motion. The background information is thus filtered by the camera, avoiding the background subtraction computation needed with systems that employ standard intensity cameras [19], [20].

Increased complexity usually translates into higher system cost and power consumption. On the other hand, an over-simplified algorithm will downgrade system performance and lose ground for practical usage. On the basis of these considerations, we proposed an efficient object localization algorithm and seamlessly integrated it into the above-mentioned motion detection sensor. The key processing element is a so-called “cluster,” which is a block of pixels belonging to the same object. As illustrated in Fig. 2, a cluster is visually represented as a rectangle shape and is uniquely defined by its boundary coordinates (r1, c1), (r2, c2). Besides these boundary coordinates, parameters of a cluster also include number of events (NoE). By trading off between performance and implementation complexity (explained in Section III-B), we employ three clusters and the chip is therefore able to track up to three objects at the same time.

A. Clustering of Motion Events

The algorithm is implemented in an on-the-fly fashion. It continuously monitors the incoming pixel data (motion event), in which “1” stands for a pixel on a motion object (active motion event) and “0” for a still background pixel (inactive motion event). For the sake of clarity, the ‘event’ mentioned in the following part always refers to the active motion event.

At the beginning of each frame, all clusters are reset (i.e., number of events of each cluster is cleared to 0). A cluster is initiated upon the arrival of the first active motion event. Later on, the algorithm examines the distance of a new event to the existing clusters (nonempty) based on the following criterion:

\[ d_{x} < Th_{x} \text{ and } d_{y} < Th_{y} \]  

where \( d_{x} \) and \( d_{y} \) are the row distance and column distance between the event and the cluster center, respectively. \( Th_{x} \) and \( Th_{y} \) define a search range (the largest rectangular area in Fig. 2) with respect to the center of the existing cluster.

III. OBJECT LOCALIZATION ALGORITHM

Integration of a signal processing algorithm onto silicon involves trading off between performance and complexity.
Fig. 3. Illustration of instant merging. If the incoming event belongs to more than one cluster at the same time, then all corresponding clusters and current event will be merged together.

Thx and Thy are not constants; they instead grow with the cluster. Let w and h be the width and height of the cluster, then

\[
\begin{align*}
Thx &= Th_0 + h/2 \\
Thy &= Th_0 + w/2
\end{align*}
\]

where Th0 is a user-defined threshold, which stands for the distance from the boundary of the cluster to the boundary of search range.

The clusters will then be updated according to the following procedure.

1) If the event falls within the boundary of an existing cluster, the cluster simply increases its number of events by one. If the event falls out of the cluster boundary but is still within the search range, it is still considered to be part of this cluster, and the cluster therefore grows its boundary to enclose the event. This procedure is illustrated in Fig. 2.

2) If the incoming event is out of the search range, a new cluster needs to be initiated which is centered at the address of the event.

3) In case the event belongs to more than one cluster at the same time, these clusters are merged into a single larger cluster. As illustrated in Fig. 3, when the search ranges of cluster 1 and cluster 2 overlap and an event occurs in the common region, we merge the two clusters into a single one and store the updated information into cluster 1. We name this strategy “instant merging.” In this way, the resource of cluster 2 can be reused, effectively reducing required total number of clusters.

4) If the event belongs to none of the existing clusters, a new cluster needs to be initiated. Due to limited resources, there is a chance that all clusters are deployed. In this case, a discarding strategy is adopted. The cluster containing the least number of events is considered a noise object and discarded. At the same time, this cluster is re-initiated at the address of the event.

Fig. 4 shows the intermediate clusters during the sequential scanning of a binary image, which models the output data stream from the image sensor. It illustrates the above-mentioned clustering process, including initiation of a new cluster, cluster growing, merging, and discarding.

Fig. 4. Evolution of the intermediate clusters during the sequential scanning of a temporal difference image. (a) Test image which models the output data stream from the sensor. (b) First motion event initiates cluster 1 (solid box). (c) As more events are received and processed, cluster 1 is enlarged, and cluster 2 is initiated (dashed box). (d) Instant merging: a new event belongs to both clusters 1 and 2, and the two clusters are therefore considered to be parts of one object and merged. (e), (f) Discarding strategy. A new event belongs to none of the existing clusters and all cluster resources are taken, then the cluster containing the least number of events (cluster 3, in this example, shown as a dotted box) is considered a noise object and discarded. At the same time, this cluster is re-initiated at the address of the event.

Fig. 5. (a) Figure that is used to illustrate the definition of localization error. Dot areas represent the nonoverlapping area. (b) Lists some sample test images. (c) and (d) present corresponding ground truth and simulation results (at certain Th0 and number of clusters), respectively.

B. Selection of Optimal Parameters

In order to evaluate the proposed algorithm and select optimal parameters (search range threshold Th0 and number of clusters), we have defined a quantitative criterion. As illustrated in Fig. 5(a), for a test image with a resolution of 64×64, the boundary of the largest object in the scene is manually marked as the reference ground truth, and is compared to the boundary computed by the algorithm (at certain Th0 and number of clusters). The localization error is defined as follows:

\[
err = Nd/Nt
\]

where Nd is the number of pixels in the nonoverlapping area of the two bounding boxes, and Nt is the number of pixels in the ground truth box.

We have built a library of 120 motion images, including various scenarios such as road traffic, pedestrians, and laboratory
activities. A few examples are shown in Fig. 5(b). Based on
the test image library, we first evaluated the choice of search
range threshold $Th_0$. Given a fixed number of clusters (e.g.,
1, 2, 3, …), for a certain threshold, $Th_0 = k$, $1 \leq k < 64$,
each image of the test sequence produces an error ($err_i$, $i$
$= 1, 2, …, $N$, $N = 120$), and root mean square error (RMSE) is
calculated based on the following performance metric:

$$RMSE_k = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} err_i^2}.$$  (4)

On one hand, a smaller threshold (corresponding to a
conservative boundary expansion rate) leads to better noise re-
jection and allows production of a “cleaner” bounding box for
an object. On the other hand, a larger threshold (corresponding
to an aggressive boundary expansion rate) is required to merge
discontinuous regions of one object, which are commonly
found in temporal difference images due to the nonuniform
motion speed of parts belonging to one object. With the given
library, we tried different numbers of clusters (1 to 5); and for
each number, we sweep the search range threshold $Th_0$ to find
an optimal value, based on the minimum RMSE rule. When
using only one cluster, the optimal threshold $Th_0$ is found at
10; while for two to five clusters, the optimal thresholds are all
coincidentally equal to 3. Fig. 6 shows the simulation result
for three clusters. The best clustering performance (i.e., the
minimum RMSE) for each cluster number is reported in Fig.
7. More clusters undoubtedly improve performance; however,
the improvement almost saturates when cluster number is
more than three. In addition, seen from the description of
the algorithm, larger number of clusters will involve more
operations such as distance measurement and comparison, and
hence end up with more hardware resources. With the above
considerations, we employ three clusters in the system.

With the obtained parameters (i.e., three clusters and $Th_0 = 3$), we execute the algorithm on a short motion video sequence.
For each frame, the computed bounding box is compared
with a manually marked ground truth. The simulation result
is shown in Fig. 8.

C. Performance Under Different Noise Conditions
In order to quantitatively analyze the influence of noise on
the algorithm’s performance, we added salt and pepper noise
to all the library images. We tried different noise densities,
ranging from 0.01 to 0.10, with a step length of 0.01. The
RMSE for each noise density was calculated and shown in
Fig. 9. As expected, the RMSE gradually increases with the
increase of noise density. Fig. 10 shows samples of localization
results under different noise conditions. It demonstrates the
robustness of our algorithm against low to medium level noise
interference.

IV. VLSI IMPLEMENTATION

A. Motion Detection
The image sensor consists of a 64 × 64 pixel array, and each
pixel is equipped with an analog memory (capacitor). The
whole array is hence capable of storing the previous frame as
a reference image. The rows are first sequentially selected for
reset. Later, at another round of scanning, the rows of pixels
are sequentially selected for readout. Each pixel will output
both the new integration voltage on its photodiode and the
Fig. 9. RMSE versus noise density. (Algorithm parameters: three clusters, search range threshold Th0 equals 3.)

Fig. 10. Localization results of an example image under different noise conditions. The first one is the result before adding noise. The others are results under different levels of salt and pepper noise interference (from left to right, noise densities are 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, and 0.10, respectively).

Fig. 11. Schematic of the pixel. Low threshold NMOS follower m2 is highlighted with a circle. Capacitor C is the memory device that stores the previous-frame pixel value.

previous voltage stored on its capacitor. The two voltages are fed into a global event generator circuit which is composed of a global amplifier with a temporal-difference computation circuit based on dual comparison [18]. The event generator computes the difference between the two voltages and compares it to a positive and negative threshold. A digital motion event is generated if this difference exceeds the thresholds.

Fig. 12 shows the block diagram of the object localization unit. Major building blocks include three sets of registers for storing clusters’ information and a batch of computational logics, including Distance Measurement Unit (“belong2clu1,” “belong2clu2,” and “belong2clu3”), “Clustering Flags Generator,” and “Clusters Update Logic.”

1) Distance Measurement: The motion event and its address are sent in parallel to the distance measurement unit. Based on the criterion described in (1), three bits of comparison results are produced, namely “belong2clu1,” “belong2clu2,” and “belong2clu3,” respectively.

For instance, signal “belong2clu1” indicates whether the new event falls within the search range of cluster 1. Let \((x, y), (x_c, y_c), (r_1, c_1), \) and \((r_2, c_2)\) denote the addresses of the motion event, cluster-1’s center, top left corner, and bottom right corner, respectively; then the distance between the event and the cluster center, the search range, and the final comparison results are computed by:

\[
\begin{align*}
  h &= r_2 - r_1 \\
  w &= c_2 - c_1 \\
  Th_x &= Th_0 + h/2 \\
  Th_y &= Th_0 + w/2 \\
  x_c &= (r_1 + r_2)/2 \\
  y_c &= (c_1 + c_2)/2 \\
  d_x &= \text{abs}(x - x_c) \\
  d_y &= \text{abs}(y - y_c) \\
  \text{belong2clu1} &= (d_x < Th_x) \& (d_y < Th_y). \\
\end{align*}
\]  

Therefore, the computational core for measuring the distance to each cluster consists of ten adders. Fig. 13 shows the implementation of \(a < b\) and \(\text{abs}(a - b)\) operation.

2) Clustering Flags Generator: Seen from the description of the algorithm, one event may simultaneously belong to multiple clusters. The three bits of comparison results are fed to “Clustering Flags Generator” to make further judgements. For instance, the combination of \(\text{"belong2clu1" = 1, "belong2clu2" = 0, "belong2clu3" = 0}\) indicates that the motion event only belongs to cluster-1 and hence cluster-1 should be enlarged. As shown in Fig. 14, this can be simply implemented in hardware by a “AND3” gate, which outputs...
abs
(b)

Fig. 13. Arithmetic operations for \((a < b)\) and \(\text{abs}(a - b)\). (a) \((a < b)\).
(b) \(\text{abs}(a - b)\).

\[ r_1' = \min(r_1, x) \]
\[ r_2' = \max(r_2, x) \]
\[ c_1' = \min(c_1, y) \]
\[ c_2' = \max(c_2, y) \]
\[ \text{NoE}' = \text{NoE} + \text{Flag} \]

where \((r_1', c_1'), (r_2', c_2'), \) and \(\text{NoE}'\) represent the updated boundary and number of events.

4) **Discussion:** We examined the implementation complexity of the localization block with respect to scaled number of clusters.

a) Storage of the clusters (i.e., flip-flops) will linearly increase. Each cluster records its two corner addresses \((r_1, c_1)\) and \((r_2, c_2)\), and \(\text{NoE}\). This needs \(41\) bits of flip-flops in total.

b) Since a new event has to check whether it belongs to each cluster, the complexity of the distance measurement unit (i.e., \(-, -, \) and \(-<\) operations) will linearly increase.

c) More inter-cluster operations are needed. For instance, when there are three clusters, an incoming event will check whether it belongs to only one of them or simultaneously two of them, or even three of them. These operations are translated to "AND" gates (as shown in Fig. 14). For \(N\) clusters, the total number of "AND" operations is \(C(N,0) + C(N,1) + C(N,2) + \ldots + C(N, N) = 2^N\).

Moreover, in order to find out which cluster has the least number of events, another \(2N\) "AND" gates and \(C(N, 2)\) comparators are required.

In summary, building larger number of clusters on-chip allows us to track more objects and offers better noise rejection performance; however, this is at the expense of more hardware resources.

V. **EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS**

The single-chip smart vision sensor consisting of a temporal difference imager and an object localization unit was implemented using UMC 0.18 \(\mu\)m CMOS process (one poly and six metal layers). Fig. 16 shows the chip microphotograph with main building blocks highlighted. The chip has a total area of \(1.5 \text{mm} \times 1.5 \text{mm}\) (inclusive of I/O pads). The 64\(\times\)64 pixel array, motion event generator, and row and column decoders were implemented using a full custom approach. Each pixel features an area of 14\(\mu\)m\(^2\) with a fill-factor of 32\%. The object localization unit was designed from register transfer level using Verilog HDL, and it was implemented as synchronous digital circuits using standard cells. It occupies a relatively small silicon area of 600\(\times\)220 \(\mu\)m\(^2\). Guard rings were extensively used to limit substrate coupling and shield the pixels from the outer-array digital circuitry. Power and ground buses were routed using top layer metal.

In order to test the chip, we developed a field-programmable gate array (FPGA) based testing platform as shown in Fig. 17. The vision sensor is interfaced with an Opal-Kelly XEM 3005 FPGA board. The FPGA is configured to provide input control signals (clock, reset and switch between analog/motion mode), temporarily store the cluster data to an
on-board SDRAM, and communicate with a PC through a high-speed USB link. On the PC side, a graphic user interface is developed which translates operational parameters such as frame rate and motion sensitivity into FPGA signals. At the end of each frame, the three clusters are read out sequentially. Based on the features of object size, position, and motion density (which can be derived from the cluster size and number of events), external processors can effectively track the object of interest. The sensor can automatically switch to ROI intensity mode, and the on-chip address unit will only read out the ROI pixels. An on-board 12-bit ADC (AD7476) is used for analog image conversion.

Fig. 18 reports a few sample images of road traffic. The demo video can be accessed from our lab website [21]. In this example, we assume the largest object in the scene is the target of interest, and one can note that the sensor can locate and extract the running person, motorcycle, and car quite well. During data acquisition, the sensor was mounted still on the second floor and faces the road with an angle of ±45°. Due to the limited resolution of 64×64 pixels, the image quality of the ROI is not very satisfying when the object goes far. With the successful proof of this concept sensor, we plan to adopt a multi-resolution strategy [15] in the future. The proposed clustering algorithm works at low resolution and high frame rate. Once the object of interest is determined, the sensor can switch to higher resolution and only reports intensity image of the ROI.

Other characteristic parameters of the chip are summarized in Table I. In particular, the sensor features low power consumption. The analog part only dissipates 0.4 mW, and the clustering part consumes 8.63 μW when operating at 100 fps.

VI. Conclusion

This paper reported the theory, simulation, VLSI design, and experimental measurements of a single-chip CMOS image sensor with moving object detection and localization capability. Motion events are first detected using a frame differencing scheme; then they are processed by an on-the-fly clustering processor to localize the motion objects in the scene. Unlike existing systems relying on external FPGAs or CPLDs to perform object localization, our system does not require any external computation or storage. The proposed algorithm is integrated on chip, featuring compact silicon implementation and little power consumption. The proposed design is an ideal candidate of wireless sensor network node, for applications such as assisted living monitors, security cameras, and even...

TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chip Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Process Technology</td>
<td>UMC 0.18 μm 1P6M CMOS, 1.8 v Supply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Die size</td>
<td>1.5 × 1.5 mm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel array</td>
<td>64 × 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size</td>
<td>14 × 14 μm²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trans/pixel</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROI factor</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Readout strategy</td>
<td>Sequential scan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fixed pattern noise</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dark current</td>
<td>9.1 FA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>0.11 V(10/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max frame rate</td>
<td>100 fps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power consumption</td>
<td>Pixels array + motion detection 0.4mW, object localization 8.63 μW (at 100 fps)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 16. Chip microphotograph with main building blocks highlighted.

Fig. 17. FPGA based testing platform. The vision sensor is interfaced with an Opal-Kelly XEM 3005 FPGA board.

Fig. 18. Sample images of the prototype image sensor. (a) Gray level images captured in normal intensity mode. (b) Corresponding images captured in temporal difference mode. (c) Localization results on top of the temporal difference images. (d) Snapshot of the ROI (images are resized in software for better visualization).
robotic vision. Future improvements include adoption of a dynamic resolution pixel array and event based object tracking.
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